

Attending: Tim Baker, Bill Hiney, Jon Woessner, Frank Mileham, Don Campbell, Ray Miller

I. FNR program budget needs – Tim talked about the overall budget needs from the program needs addendum form out of the program review process. Some are important though relatively low cost (compasses, tapes, etc..) others are much larger (new faculty, enclosing shooting range). The current budget won't support much if any though we did get the ESRI GIS annual license renewal (\$2000) covered in the budget process.

- additional items – logger's tapes, increment corers, Biltmore sticks, laser hypsometers, data loggers (committee suggests data loggers and lasers probably not needed since most employers will have their own system so no way to standardize courses for it).

- external grants Jon suggested previously and Tim submitted a letter to Humboldt redwoods company asking for a donation of \$2000. We haven't heard back yet about the donation.

- Tim wondered about Redwoods Region Logging Conference as a source of donation but the committee suggested the finances of the recent conferences haven't left any funds available for grants.

II. FNR curriculum

- Liberal Arts – Natural Resource option – Tim discussed the possibility of creating a new degree (handed out a possible curriculum) designated as a Liberal Arts degree with a concentration in Natural Resources. It would have a core of Gen Ed courses and 18 units of required and restricted electives that would allow students trying to transfer to still get a degree that had Natural Resources in the title. The committee suggested that it would be good to continue pursuing the curriculum because it would allow students an alternative to the complete A.S. Forest Technology degree and might bring in additional students to keep enrollments up.

- LA – Environmental Science/Studies option(s) – Tim also discussed meetings with Karen Reiss and Peter Blakemore who are trying to build an ENV SCI/ ENV STU program and where NR might fit into that. It is possible we could integrate with it by pursuing an applied path where ENV SCI would focus on the lab science side and ENV STU would focus on the policy side.

The committee suggested Tim pursue discussions to see where the new program might fall out.

- Articulation – Tim discussed various course articulation issues with the committee but without Ken Fulghum or Lisa Perry present the discussion was limited.

- HSU – we need to see if we can re-structure courses to better fit FOR 210 (currently requires both FOR 54 and NR 52) and FOR 250 (FOR 10 was rejected as meeting articulation).

- Cal Poly – a number of courses at CR could potentially articulate with Cal Poly but have never been formalized. While the number of students who transfer from CR to Cal Poly is small, it could benefit them. Tim will try to work with the articulation officer (currently vacant) or on his own to see what can be proposed.

III. Forestry Club / Student SAF chapter

- Advisor/sponsor help – Tim suggest that we really need an additional advisor or outside sponsor to inject vitality into the FNR club. Current campus club rules restrict some of what the club was able to do in the past and have led to a more informal group rather than a formal club. However there's some interest in the students to pursue the formal club designation if we can get enough advisor help (in addition to Tim).

- Activities – The committee discussed some potential club activities (firewood sales, trail work) and suggested perhaps a sponsored BBQ to engender interest.

Science Night was announced for October 22 and Tim and Bill will have activities planned.

Next meeting – Tim will send out possible dates for the next meeting in December.